

# Discussion of “Knowing What Not To Do: Financial Literacy and Consumer Credit Choices,” “Financial Literacy and High-Cost Borrowing in the United States,” and “Effect of Monitoring on Mortgage Delinquency”

Max Schmeiser  
Federal Reserve Board



The analysis and conclusions set forth in this presentation represent the work of the author and do not indicate concurrence of the Federal Reserve Board, the Federal Reserve Banks, or their staffs.



# Overview

- Broad theme: The effect of financial literacy/capability on financial well-being and financial behaviors
- Jaroszek and Lusaridi both use measures of financial knowledge to examine the effect of financial literacy on use of high-cost credit
- Moulton examines the effect of providing financial coaching to homebuyers on default



# Summary: Jaroszek and Dick

- Use household panel data from Germany that includes measures of financial literacy, numeracy, self-control, and use of high cost credit (overdraft)
- Higher financial literacy reduces use of overdraft
- Low self-control increases probability of using high cost credit by 20 percent
- Financial literacy can compensate for low self-control



# Comments

- Extremely well done paper
  - Use novel measures of self-control, control for numeracy
  - Present the effects of financial literacy, numeracy, and self-control on overdraft use several ways
  - Robustness check using different empirical approach and also controlling for being credit constrained
- Good to see similar findings in both the German and US data



# Comments

- Earlier draft made use of question about how quickly people spent pocket money as a child
  - Only available for subset and some problems with reporting, but find that quick spending is strongly associated with use of overdraft
- Earlier draft also had robustness check using factor analysis to generate financial literacy index that yielded similar findings
  - Consider adding back in



# Summary: Lusardi and Scheresberg

- Use FINRA Foundation's 2009 National Financial Capability Study to examine use of payday loans, auto title loans, RALs, pawn shops, and rent-to-own stores (collectively AFS)
- Examine relationship between financial literacy and use of AFS
  - Difference between highest and lowest level of literacy  $\approx$  20% reduction in probability of using AFS
  - Financial literacy effect is robust to inclusion of demographics, risk tolerance, and measures of vulnerability



# Comments

- Adds to Anna's already extensive work demonstrating that low financial literacy is associated with negative financial behaviors and outcomes
  - Evidence on the credit side instead of asset side
- Provides detailed information on AFS users
- Discusses how a wide range of variables affect use of AFS in regression context



# Comments

- Sampling design was extremely complex and non-traditional
  - Two different opt-in internet panels
  - Use of state by state quotas (race, age, etc.)
  - Population weights are not sufficient to yield representative estimates
- Additional comparison to National Survey done by RDD would support the State Survey numbers
- Looking forward to comparison with 2012 survey





# Summary: Collins et al.

- Use RCT to examine the effect of telephone financial coaching for first-time homebuyers on default, savings, debt levels, auto-pay
- Coaching reduces default among low-credit score borrowers (<680)
  - 30-40% reduction in default probability from 9-20 phone calls! ( $\approx 10$  percentage points)
- No significant effect on high score borrowers
- Significantly increases use of auto-pay
- Higher reported saving



# Comments

- Hard to criticize a RCT
  - Random assignment
  - Samples balanced
  - Results robust to including controls and using IV
- Given varying lengths of observation for borrowers supplement with standardized outcome if feasible (e.g. 12 month default rate)
- Many different time periods
  - Figure showing dates of recruitment, treatment, data collection, etc. would be useful
- Discuss costs vs. benefits (I imagine these calls were extremely low cost relative to default)



# Policy Implications

- Strong evidence that some underlying financial literacy factor is an important determinant of nearly every aspect of household financial well-being
- Problem is translating that knowledge into effective interventions that actually improve underlying financial literacy and thus financial outcomes
  - Not enough to teach people the answers to Big 3 or Big 5 questions
  - Mixed evidence on effectiveness of financial education
  - Additional research needed evaluating specific programs (education and interventions) so that we know what works and what doesn't (and for whom)
  - Also know what is and is not cost effective



# Effectiveness of Interventions

- Moulton demonstrates that a timely and targeted intervention can improve financial outcomes
  - However only works for low-credit score (low financial literacy?) individuals
- Increasing number of studies showing the effectiveness of these types of targeted interventions
  - Savings reminders (Kast et al. 2012)
  - Foreclosure counseling (Collins and Schmeiser 2012)



# Effectiveness of Financial Education

Georgia:

In 2004 State Board of Education approved the new Georgia Performance Standards (GPS) Social Studies Curriculum which strengthens economics content across K-8 grades and explicitly includes Personal Finance in all grades, including high school beginning Fall 2006  
(Source: Jump\$tart)



# Georgia Results

	(1)	(2)
	Credit Score	Account 60 Plus Days Delinquent
Fin Lit (Implementation)	3.959*** (0.765)	-0.0157*** (0.00463)
Fin Lit (One Year Post)	4.282*** (0.821)	-0.0182*** (0.00503)
Fin Lit (Two Years Post)	5.722*** (0.914)	-0.0240*** (0.00560)
Fin Lit (Three Years Post)	6.544*** (1.075)	-0.0236*** (0.00646)
Fin Lit (Four Years Post)	7.189*** (1.364)	-0.0202** (0.00788)
Fin Lit (Five Years Post)	11.10*** (2.013)	-0.0339*** (0.0107)
Observations	330494	371123



# Conclusions

- Importance of financial literacy widely acknowledged
- These papers yield further evidence that financial literacy affects outcomes independent of numeracy, demographics, self-control, etc.
- Interventions can be effective (at least for certain populations) and perhaps compensate for low financial literacy
- Education may be effective but much research to do before we know what types and topics yield the greatest benefit